

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/776,318	02/02/2001	Paul S. Christian	32262	9344
7.	590 03/27/2002			
PEARSON & PEARSON 10 GEORGE STREET			EXAMINER	
LOWELL, MA		,	LAM, THANH	
	*		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/776,318 Applicant(s)

Christian et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Art Unit



		I nann Lam	2834
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	spondence address
A SH THE I - Exter	for Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Center SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communications.	CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however,	
- If the be - If NC cc - Failur	e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days e considered timely. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory communication. The to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be treply received by the Office later than three months after the	s, a reply within the statutory minimun period will apply and will expire SIX (6 y statute, cause the application to bec	3) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
ea	rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Status 1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 🔀 This ac	tion is non-final.	
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa		
Disposi	tion of Claims		
4) 🗶	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u>	is/are	pending in the application.
. 4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/ar	e withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗌	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
6) 🗌	Claim(s)		
7) 🗌	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
8) 🗶	Claims <u>1-23</u>	are subject to restric	ction and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers		
•	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		
	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved	b) \square disapproved.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
13)	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-{d}.
•	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	ve been received.	
:	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	ve been received in Application N	lo
	3. D Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure se the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	this National Stage
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachme	ent(s)		
15) 🔲 No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper	No(s)
	ctice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application	(PTO-152)
17) 🔲 Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09776318

Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-17, drawn to a structure of a compression journal, classified in class 310, subclass 219.
 - II. Claims 18-23, drawn to a method of providing a compression journal, classified in class 29, subclass 596.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the rotor and stator assembly as claimed in group I does not require the method as claimed in group II. They are clearly distinct from one to another.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Mr.Dawson on 3/22/2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

₹[©]

Application/Control Number: 09776318

Art Unit: 2834

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

Page 3

CFR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Thanh Lam whose telephone number is (703) 308-7626.

Thanh Lam

March 22, 2002